

United 'States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,688	01/19/2000	Daniel A Schoch	M-181	3451
22855	7590 09/06/2002			
RANDALL J. KNUTH P.C. 3510-A STELLHORN ROAD			EXAMINER	
	VE, IN 46815-4631	KIM, PAUL L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/487,688	SCHOCH, DANIEL A				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul L Kim	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT avoid abandonment of this application	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing days the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W/706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contr	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory periods.	grate of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and of the fee. The appropriate extension				
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the no	riad oat farth in				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		ally reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims				
NOTE:	•	s y s sjeeted oldimo.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>	e Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	i(s) a) will not be entered or b) could be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ot(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:		MansHoff				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		MARC S. HÖFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				
PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advis	sorv Action	Port of Denos No. 10				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Canada teaches controlling the mechanical press in accordance from signals from the signal conditioner (col. 4, lines 42-48).